




Hampshire

Community Data Snapshot

Municipality Series

July 2022 Release



About the Community Data Snapshots

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the [Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning \(CMAP\)](#) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates, although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found at cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots. The underlying data can be downloaded from the [CMAP Data Hub](#). Please direct any inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov.

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick survey** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

User Notes

Definitions

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the [ACS subject definitions](#).

Margins of Error

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the [ACS sample size and data quality methodology](#).

Regional Values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Median Values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the [grouped frequency distributions](#) reported in the ACS.

Municipalities Located in Multiple Counties

County data is presented for the CMAP county containing the largest portion of the municipality's population, as of the 2020 decennial census.

Municipalities That Extend Beyond the CMAP Region

Values derived from CMAP analyses are generally restricted to geographies that fall within the CMAP regional boundaries. Specifically, values in the General Land Use, Equalized Assessed Value, Park Access, Transit Availability, Walkability, Water Supply, and ON TO 2050 Indicators tables only represent the portion of each municipality that falls within the seven-county CMAP region. This snapshot is for Hampshire, which does *not* extend beyond the CMAP region.

Population and Households

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Hampshire.

General Population Characteristics, 2020

	Hampshire	Kane County	CMAP Region
Total Population	7,667	516,522	8,577,735
Total Households	2,664	180,374	3,266,741
Average Household Size	2.9	2.8	2.6
Percent Population Change, 2010-20	37.8	0.2	1.7
Percent Population Change, 2000-20	164.4	27.8	5.3

Source: 2000, 2010 and 2020 Census.

Race and Ethnicity, 2016-2020

	Hampshire		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	5,373	90.9	301,506	56.7	4,276,699	50.6
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	377	6.4	169,901	32.0	1,952,731	23.1
Black (Non-Hispanic)	0	0.0	27,625	5.2	1,391,837	16.5
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	159	2.7	20,878	3.9	620,988	7.3
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	0	0.0	11,846	2.2	209,283	2.5

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, 2016-2020

	Hampshire		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Under 5	182	3.1	33,569	6.3	508,895	6.0
5 to 19	1,316	22.3	116,068	21.8	1,624,354	19.2
20 to 34	1,018	17.2	97,478	18.3	1,781,246	21.1
35 to 49	1,517	25.7	108,358	20.4	1,688,609	20.0
50 to 64	1,364	23.1	103,397	19.4	1,625,883	19.2
65 to 74	370	6.3	44,048	8.3	713,897	8.4
75 to 84	142	2.4	20,805	3.9	348,205	4.1
85 and Over	0	0.0	8,033	1.5	160,449	1.9
Median Age	39.3		37.7		37.7	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, 2016-2020

	Hampshire		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	531	13.3	49,927	14.3	635,735	11.0
High School Diploma or Equivalent	1,132	28.4	79,551	22.8	1,291,465	22.4
Some College, No Degree	576	14.4	71,834	20.6	1,092,322	18.9
Associate's Degree	445	11.1	26,363	7.6	407,241	7.1
Bachelor's Degree	879	22.0	78,305	22.5	1,412,295	24.5
Graduate or Professional Degree	429	10.7	42,456	12.2	934,423	16.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Nativity, 2016-2020

	Hampshire		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Native	5,780	97.8	439,875	82.7	6,847,148	81.0
Foreign Born	129	2.2	91,881	17.3	1,604,390	19.0

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2016-2020

	Hampshire		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	5,321	92.9	342,395	68.7	5,459,244	68.7
Spanish	289	5.0	127,973	25.7	1,449,991	18.3
Slavic Languages	0	0.0	5,429	1.1	278,826	3.5
Chinese	0	0.0	777	0.2	90,225	1.1
Tagalog	0	0.0	2,867	0.6	71,472	0.9
Arabic	0	0.0	1,816	0.4	62,859	0.8
Korean	0	0.0	623	0.1	35,656	0.4
Other Asian Languages	45	0.8	4,737	1.0	110,907	1.4
Other Indo-European Languages	72	1.3	10,516	2.1	326,948	4.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	0	0.0	1,054	0.2	56,515	0.7
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	406	7.1	155,792	31.3	2,483,399	31.3
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	31	0.5	57,285	11.5	925,362	11.7

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Household Size, 2016-2020

	Hampshire		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1-Person Household	181	9.8	39,680	21.8	921,119	29.2
2-Person Household	538	29.3	57,046	31.4	975,488	30.9
3-Person Household	404	22.0	29,117	16.0	490,784	15.6
4-or-More-Person Household	716	38.9	56,002	30.8	765,988	24.3

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, 2016-2020

	Hampshire		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Family	1,458	79.3	133,017	73.1	2,029,180	64.3
Single Parent with Child	11	0.6	15,669	8.6	252,992	8.0
Non-Family	381	20.7	48,828	26.9	1,124,199	35.7

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, 2016-2020

	Hampshire		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$25,000	50	2.7	21,316	11.7	506,150	16.1
\$25,000 to \$49,999	241	13.1	30,659	16.9	548,808	17.4
\$50,000 to \$74,999	195	10.6	29,645	16.3	495,919	15.7
\$75,000 to \$99,999	443	24.1	25,656	14.1	401,768	12.7
\$100,000 to \$149,999	508	27.6	36,902	20.3	556,161	17.6
\$150,000 and Over	402	21.9	37,667	20.7	644,573	20.4
Median Income	\$99,635		\$83,374		\$76,606	
Per Capita Income*	\$49,998		\$37,548		\$40,601	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Universe: Total population

Household Computer and Internet Access, 2016-2020

	Hampshire		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
One or More Computing Devices	1,839	100.0	172,086	94.6	2,923,718	92.7
Smartphone(s) Only	47	2.6	12,308	6.8	226,403	7.2
No Computing Devices	0	0.0	9,759	5.4	229,661	7.3
Internet Access	1,593	86.6	165,742	91.1	2,832,841	89.8
Broadband Subscription	1,561	84.9	162,322	89.3	2,750,632	87.2
No Internet Access	246	13.4	16,103	8.9	320,538	10.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2016-2020

	Hampshire		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	1,839	95.8	181,845	96.1	3,153,379	92.2
Owner-Occupied*	1,269	69.0	135,623	74.6	2,024,218	64.2
Renter-Occupied*	570	31.0	46,222	25.4	1,129,161	35.8
Vacant Housing Units	80	4.2	7,456	3.9	266,837	7.8

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units
*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2016-2020

	Hampshire		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$20,000	50	2.7	14,189	7.9	329,533	10.7
Less than 20 Percent	0	0.0	509	0.3	8,809	0.3
20 to 29 Percent	0	0.0	889	0.5	23,934	0.8
30 Percent or More	50	2.7	12,791	7.1	296,790	9.7
\$20,000 to \$49,999	241	13.1	35,519	19.8	658,312	21.4
Less than 20 Percent	11	0.6	4,322	2.4	76,378	2.5
20 to 29 Percent	20	1.1	7,623	4.3	137,095	4.5
30 Percent or More	210	11.4	23,574	13.2	444,839	14.5
\$50,000 to \$74,999	195	10.6	29,485	16.5	490,893	16.0
Less than 20 Percent	32	1.7	9,085	5.1	150,576	4.9
20 to 29 Percent	48	2.6	10,385	5.8	174,242	5.7
30 Percent or More	115	6.3	10,015	5.6	166,075	5.4
\$75,000 or More	1,353	73.6	99,959	55.8	1,594,882	51.9
Less than 20 Percent	641	34.9	66,014	36.8	1,074,238	35.0
20 to 29 Percent	511	27.8	26,337	14.7	392,538	12.8
30 Percent or More	201	10.9	7,608	4.2	128,106	4.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Housing & Transportation (H+T) Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2012-2016

	Median-Income Family**	Moderate-Income Family***
Housing Costs	33	42
Transportation Costs	25	28
TOTAL H+T COSTS	59	69

Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, [Location Affordability Index](#) (2012-2016).

*The purpose of the H+T Index is to isolate the effect of location on housing and transportation costs, and is reported for different household typologies. The values above represent the percent of household income that an average household of each type spends on housing and transportation. The standard threshold of affordability is 30% for housing costs alone, and 45% for housing and transportation costs combined.

**"Median-income family" assumes a 4-person, 2-commuter household with income equal to the regional median.

***"Moderate-income family" assumes a 3-person, 1-commuter household with income equal to 80% of the regional median.

Housing Characteristics

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Hampshire.

Housing Type, 2016-2020

	Hampshire		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	1,263	65.8	132,117	69.8	1,719,774	50.3
Single Family, Attached	436	22.7	21,567	11.4	253,603	7.4
2 Units	62	3.2	6,803	3.6	237,178	6.9
3 or 4 Units	21	1.1	6,733	3.6	268,464	7.8
5 to 9 Units	137	7.1	6,150	3.2	266,933	7.8
10 to 19 Units	0	0.0	4,909	2.6	150,679	4.4
20 or More Units	0	0.0	9,564	5.1	494,837	14.5
Mobile Home/Other*	0	0.0	1,458	0.8	28,748	0.8

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
 *"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

Housing Size, 2016-2020

	Hampshire		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	0	0.0	15,304	8.1	550,686	16.1
2 Bedrooms	329	17.1	46,470	24.5	958,392	28.0
3 Bedrooms	987	51.4	65,842	34.8	1,138,223	33.3
4 Bedrooms	469	24.4	49,723	26.3	600,758	17.6
5 or More Bedrooms	134	7.0	11,962	6.3	172,157	5.0
Median Number of Rooms*	6.1		6.3		6.0	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.
 Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Housing Age, 2016-2020

	Hampshire		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	944	49.2	47,223	24.9	478,528	14.0
Built 1970 to 1999	609	31.7	74,897	39.6	1,175,262	34.4
Built 1940 to 1969	226	11.8	40,292	21.3	1,044,171	30.5
Built Before 1940	140	7.3	26,889	14.2	722,255	21.1
Median Year Built	1999		1982		1969	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Transportation

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for Hampshire.

Vehicles Available per Household, 2016-2020

	Hampshire		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
No Vehicle Available	0	0.0	7,895	4.3	399,153	12.7
1 Vehicle Available	384	20.9	46,939	25.8	1,116,123	35.4
2 Vehicles Available	901	49.0	77,503	42.6	1,103,633	35.0
3 or More Vehicles Available	554	30.1	49,508	27.2	534,470	16.9

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, 2016-2020

	Hampshire		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home*	577	19.5	21,345	8.1	342,653	8.2
Drive Alone	2,144	72.6	203,324	76.9	2,785,976	66.7
Carpool	43	1.5	25,845	9.8	321,528	7.7
Transit	0	0.0	5,907	2.2	517,952	12.4
Walk or Bike	117	4.0	3,647	1.4	153,729	3.7
Other	71	2.4	4,177	1.6	57,069	1.4
TOTAL COMMUTERS	2,375	80.5	242,900	91.9	3,836,254	91.8
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	30.2		29.2		32.2	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household*, 2017

	Hampshire	Kane County	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year	N/A	22,219	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2017 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

*Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2017

	Hampshire	Kane County	CMAP Region
High Transit Availability	0.0%	19.7%	53.9%
Moderate Transit Availability	0.0%	32.2%	20.6%
Low Transit Availability	100.0%	48.0%	25.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 [Transit Availability Index](#).

*The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.

Employment

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Hampshire.

Employment Status, 2016-2020

	Hampshire		Kane County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
In Labor Force	3,157	68.4	284,919	69.0	4,546,688	67.3
Employed†*	3,079	97.5	270,509	94.9	4,254,806	93.6
Unemployed*	78	2.5	14,283	5.0	279,707	6.2
Not in Labor Force	1,458	31.6	128,118	31.0	2,205,767	32.7

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

*Universe: In labor force

Private Sector Employment*, 2021

	Hampshire		Kane County		6-County Region**	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Private Sector Employment	N/A	N/A	164,770	N/A	3,343,257	N/A
Job Change, 2011-21	N/A	N/A	8,271	5.3	150,831	4.7
Job Change, 2001-21	N/A	N/A	-815	-0.5	-145,637	-4.2
Private Sector Jobs per Household***	N/A		0.91		1.06	

Source: Illinois Department of Employment Security, Where Workers Work report (2021).

*Figures exclude employees not covered by unemployment insurance. Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

**Data is not available for Kendall County.

***Based on households from 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Employment of Hampshire Residents*, 2019

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Manufacturing	306	12.2
2. Retail Trade	297	11.8
3. Health Care	256	10.2
4. Education	237	9.4
5. Accommodation and Food Service	192	7.7
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Elgin	298	11.9
2. Chicago	262	10.4
3. Huntley	91	3.6
4. Schaumburg	82	3.3
5. Hampshire	73	2.9

Employment in Hampshire*, 2019

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Manufacturing	606	33.2
2. Wholesale Trade	198	10.9
3. Construction	192	10.5
4. Retail Trade	146	8.0
5. Accommodation and Food Service	141	7.7
TOP RESIDENCE LOCATIONS		
1. Huntley	169	9.3
2. Elgin	157	8.6
3. Hampshire	73	4.0
4. Chicago	52	2.9
5. Lake in the Hills	50	2.7

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2019).

*Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.

Land Use

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for Hampshire.

General Land Use, 2015

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	743.3	12.9
Multi-Family Residential	8.7	0.2
Commercial	85.8	1.5
Industrial	185.5	3.2
Institutional	165.9	2.9
Mixed Use	0.8	0.0
Transportation and Other	526.8	9.2
Agricultural	3,381.3	58.9
Open Space	47.8	0.8
Vacant	598.5	10.4
TOTAL	5,744.4	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Land Use Inventory](#).

Park Access, 2015

	Hampshire	Kane County	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	6.73	9.87	5.78

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Park Access Layer](#).

*Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

Walkability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2018

	Hampshire	Kane County	CMAP Region
High Walkability	0.0%	17.9%	44.7%
Moderate Walkability	0.0%	28.4%	24.8%
Low Walkability	100.0%	53.7%	30.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 [Walkability Layer](#).

*The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the presence/absence of sidewalks; the number of amenities within walking distance; population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.

Tax Base

The tax base tables include retail sales and equalized assessed values for Hampshire.

General Merchandise Retail Sales, 2021

	Hampshire	Kane County	CMAP Region
General Merchandise	\$70,025,912	\$5,594,750,480	\$93,569,186,184
Total Retail Sales	\$74,627,391	\$7,294,449,545	\$122,031,374,715
Total Sales per Capita*	\$12,629	\$13,718	\$14,439

Source: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2021.

*Per capita calculations based on population from 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Equalized Assessed Value, 2020

Residential	\$187,578,165
Commercial	\$15,789,194
Industrial	\$28,396,596
Railroad	\$176,493
Farm	\$2,159,020
Mineral	\$0
TOTAL	\$234,099,468

Sources: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2020.

Change Over Time

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2016-2020 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

Race and Ethnicity, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	96.6	88.6	90.9
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	2.4	11.0	6.4
Black (Non-Hispanic)	0.1	0.2	0.0
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	0.1	0.2	2.7
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	0.7	0.0	0.0

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
19 and Under	32.2	29.0	25.4
20 to 34	17.8	16.9	17.2
35 to 49	25.5	24.6	25.7
50 to 64	13.2	21.4	23.1
65 and Over	11.3	8.0	8.7
Median Age	35.0	37.3	39.3

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Less than High School Diploma	12.9	12.0	13.3
High School Diploma or Equivalent	34.6	24.2	28.4
Some College, No Degree	26.6	28.9	14.4
Associate's Degree	7.2	9.2	11.1
Bachelor's Degree	14.2	18.4	22.0
Graduate or Professional Degree	4.6	7.3	10.7

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Nativity, Over Time

	2006-2010*	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Native	91.2	97.8
Foreign Born	8.8	2.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population
*Universe: Population 5 years and older

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
English Only	86.3	92.9
Spanish	10.2	5.0
Slavic Languages	1.2	0.0
Chinese	0.0	0.0
Tagalog	0.0	0.0
Arabic	0.0	0.0
Korean	0.0	0.0
Other Asian Languages	0.0	0.8
Other Indo-European Languages	2.2	1.3
Other/Unspecified Languages	0.0	0.0
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	13.7	7.1
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	3.5	0.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Household Size, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
1-Person Household	18.6	9.8
2-Person Household	35.4	29.3
3-Person Household	19.7	22.0
4-or-More-Person Household	26.3	38.9

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Family	79.9	79.3
Single Parent with Child	4.7	0.6
Non-Family	20.1	20.7

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, Over Time

	2006-2010 (2020 Dollars)	2016-2020 (2020 Dollars)
Median Income	\$86,570	\$99,635

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Occupied Housing Units	96.6	98.3	95.8
Owner-Occupied*	81.1	80.6	69.0
Renter-Occupied*	18.9	19.4	31.0
Vacant Housing Units	3.4	1.7	4.2

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Less than \$20,000	6.3	2.7
Less than 20 Percent	0.0	0.0
20 to 29 Percent	0.0	0.0
30 Percent or More	6.3	2.7
\$20,000 to \$49,999	20.6	13.1
Less than 20 Percent	0.0	0.6
20 to 29 Percent	6.0	1.1
30 Percent or More	14.6	11.4
\$50,000 to \$74,999	23.7	10.6
Less than 20 Percent	4.9	1.7
20 to 29 Percent	8.7	2.6
30 Percent or More	10.1	6.3
\$75,000 or More	48.2	73.6
Less than 20 Percent	19.8	34.9
20 to 29 Percent	20.6	27.8
30 Percent or More	7.8	10.9

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Housing Type, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Single Family, Detached	77.1	65.8
Single Family, Attached	17.3	22.7
2 Units	0.8	3.2
3 or 4 Units	0.9	1.1
5 or More Units	4.0	7.1
Mobile Home/Other*	0.0	0.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
*“Other” includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

Housing Size, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	2.2	0.0
2 Bedrooms	22.6	17.1
3 Bedrooms	49.2	51.4
4 Bedrooms	24.7	24.4
5 or More Bedrooms	1.3	7.0
Median Number of Rooms*	6.2	6.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.
Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Housing Age, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Built 2000 or Later	46.0	49.2
Built 1970 to 1999	32.0	31.7
Built 1940 to 1969	7.0	11.8
Built Before 1940	15.0	7.3
Median Year Built	1998	1999

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
No Vehicle Available	1.5	0.0
1 Vehicle Available	24.3	20.9
2 Vehicles Available	44.7	49.0
3 or More Vehicles Available	29.5	30.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Work at Home*	3.3	19.5
Drive Alone	90.3	72.6
Carpool	3.1	1.5
Transit	1.2	0.0
Walk or Bike	2.1	4.0
Other	0.0	2.4
TOTAL COMMUTERS	96.7	80.5
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	N/A	30.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Employment Status, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
In Labor Force	76.8	68.4
Employed ^{†*}	93.0	97.5
Unemployed*	7.0	2.5
Not in Labor Force	23.2	31.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

[†]Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

*Universe: In labor force

Water Supply

CMAAP supports an integrated approach to water resource management, and encourages communities to incorporate water supply and demand considerations into land use, transportation, and infrastructure investment decisions. Assessing demand, price, and loss trends of a community can inform decisions that strengthen regional water supply management, maintain drinking water infrastructure, and manage demand. CMAAP's ON TO 2050 plan contains [more information](#) about how communities can coordinate and conserve our shared water supply resources.

Water Source and Demand Trends of Hampshire*

Primary Water Source: Sandstone Groundwater**

	2003 MGD***	2013 MGD***	Percent Change
Total Water Withdrawals****	0.47	0.61	30.1
Residential Sector	0.39	0.46	17.8
Non-Residential Sector	0.07	0.14	94.7

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2003-2013).

*Only available for municipalities with community water suppliers providing service to the majority of the community.

**The primary water source of a community is based on the source of the majority of withdrawals from all wells and intakes within the community, including community water suppliers and industrial and commercial businesses. The majority of withdrawals is calculated as an average from yearly data, given year to year fluctuations.

***Millions of gallons per day.

****Total includes all community water suppliers and industrial and commercial wells/intakes within a municipality; private residential wells are not included. Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. Non-residential sector includes withdrawals identified as non-residential by the community water suppliers and withdrawals from industrial and commercial wells/intakes.

Daily Residential Water Demand per Capita

	Hampshire			CMAAP Region		
	2003	2013	Percent Change	2003	2013	Percent Change
Residential* (GPCD**)	101.5	80.4	-20.8	104.2	87.5	-16.0

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2003-2013).

*Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. The prevalence of private residential wells or community water suppliers that provide water outside of the municipal boundary could lead to artificially lower or higher GPCD values respectively.

**Gallons of water per capita per day (estimated unit use). Population values used in sector totals come from the U.S. Census.

Residential Water and Wastewater Price Trends*

MONTHLY COST PER 5,000 GALLONS**	2014	2020	Percent Change	Annualized Percent Change
	(2021 Dollars)	(2021 Dollars)		
Drinking Water	\$25.93	\$37.17	43.4	6.2
Sewer	\$32.62	\$32.67	0.1	0.0
Combined***	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Source: Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant Water Rates Data for Northeastern Illinois, IISG19-RCE-RLA-031.

*Only available for communities with water utilities and that responded to data requests. Percent changes and prices were adjusted for inflation using the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics' Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U).

**Monthly water bills are calculated as: $monthly\ base\ charge + ((5,000\ gallons - water\ provision\ included\ in\ base\ charge) \times \$/1,000\ gallons)$. Note that actual billing calculation practices may vary across communities.

***Some utilities combine drinking water and sewer prices, rather than separating them as two distinct rates.

Water Loss*

Reporting utility: N/A

	2017
Nonrevenue Water (Millions of Gallons per Day)**	N/A
Annual Cost of Nonrevenue Water	N/A
Percent of Nonrevenue Water to Water Supplied***	N/A

Source: Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Lake Michigan Allocation Program, 2017.

*Data is only regionally available for Lake Michigan permittees; water losses from other communities and industrial and commercial businesses are not reported to the state.

**Nonrevenue water is the difference between net annual pumpage (water supplied) and billed, authorized consumption. Non-revenue water includes water that is lost from the system due to underregistration of meters, systematic data handling errors, leakage anywhere within the distribution system, unauthorized consumption, or unbilled authorized consumption.

***The threshold for permit compliance is less than 12% of water supplied in Water Year 2015, decreasing to no more than 10% by Water Year 2019 and all years thereafter. Permittees that exceed the threshold are required to submit a water system improvement plan.

ON TO 2050 Indicators

ON TO 2050 is the region’s long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region’s current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

Plan Chapter	Indicator	Hampshire	CMAP Region		Source
		Current	Current	2050 Target+	
Community	Population located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	46.1%	53.6%	CMAP, 2018
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	41.9%	46.0%	CMAP, 2018
Prosperity	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	43.9%	47.7%	64.9%	ACS, 2016-2020
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	72.7%	81.2%	83.4%	ACS, 2016-2020
Environment	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	64.5%	41.8%	65.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	16.1%	16.3%	40.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Impervious acres per household	0.34	0.19	0.17	USGS NLCD, 2019
	Daily residential water demand per capita (gallons)	80.4	87.5	65.2	ISWS IWIP, 2013
Governance	State revenue disbursement per capita	\$290.98	\$324.17*	N/A**	CMAP, 2020
	Is per capita disbursement at least 80% of regional median?	Yes	Yes for 78% of municipalities	Yes for 100% of municipalities	CMAP, 2020
Mobility	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	0.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	0.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non-single occupancy vehicle modes	25.0%	32.0%	37.3%	ACS, 2016-2020

+Select targets have been provisionally revised as part of the ON TO 2050 Update, which is currently pending adoption. Please visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/updating-the-plan to learn more.

*Median value of CMAP region’s 284 municipalities.

ON TO 2050 does not have a target for state revenue disbursement per capita in dollars, but rather for the share of municipalities receiving at least 80% of the regional median. The dollar figures are presented as context for the next row. *[[Some indicator targets have been modified as part of the ON TO 2050 Plan Update process. Formal adoption of updated targets is expected in October 2022.]]